

# Survey on credit terms and conditions in euro-denominated securities financing and OTC derivatives markets (SESFOD)

This survey was republished on 1 August 2022 at 17:30 CET to reflect a change in Chart C (panel d) on page 12.

# June 2022

The Eurosystem conducts a three-monthly qualitative survey on credit terms and conditions in euro-denominated securities financing and over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives markets. This survey is a follow-up to a recommendation in the report of the Committee on the Global Financial System (CGFS) study group, entitled "The role of margin requirements and haircuts in procyclicality", published in March 2010<sup>1</sup>. The survey is part of an international initiative to collect information on trends in the credit terms offered by firms operating in the wholesale markets and insights into the main drivers of these trends. The information collected is valuable for financial stability, market functioning and monetary policy objectives.

The survey questions are grouped into three sections:

- counterparty types credit terms and conditions for various counterparty types in both securities financing and OTC derivatives markets;
- 2. securities financing financing conditions for various collateral types;
- non-centrally cleared OTC derivatives credit terms and conditions for various derivative types.

The survey focuses on **euro-denominated** instruments in securities financing and OTC derivatives markets. For securities financing, the survey refers to the euro-denominated securities against which financing is provided, rather than the currency of the loan. For OTC derivatives, at least one of the legs of the contract should be denominated in euro.

Survey participants are **large banks and dealers** active in targeted euro-denominated markets.

Reporting institutions should report on their **global credit terms**, so the survey is aimed at senior credit officers responsible for maintaining an overview of the management of credit risks. Where material differences exist across different business areas, for example between traditional prime brokerage and OTC derivatives, responses should refer to the business area generating the most exposure.

Committee on the Global Financial System, "The role of margin requirements and haircuts in procyclicality", *CGFS Papers*, Bank for International Settlements, No 36, March 2010.

Credit terms are reported from the perspective of the firm as a **supplier of credit to customers** (rather than as a receiver of credit from other firms).

The questions focus on how terms have tightened or eased over the past three months (regardless of longer-term trends), why terms have changed and expectations for the future. Firms are encouraged to answer all questions, unless specific market segments are of minimal importance to the firm's business.

The font colour for the net percentages of respondents reported in the tables of this document is either blue or red and reflects, respectively, either a **tightening/deterioration** or an **easing/improvement** of credit terms and conditions in targeted markets.

# June 2022 SESFOD results

# (Review period from March 2022 to May 2022)

The June 2022 Survey on credit terms and conditions in euro-denominated securities financing and OTC derivatives markets (SESFOD) reports qualitative changes in credit terms between March 2022 and May 2022. Responses were collected from a panel of 25 large banks, comprising 14 euro area banks and 11 banks with head offices outside the euro area.

# Overview of results

Overall credit terms and conditions offered by banks to counterparties tightened over the March-May 2022 review period. This tightening seems relatively contained compared with previous surveys at a time of crisis. Price and non-price terms tightened for all counterparties except hedge funds, for which non-price terms remained, on balance, unchanged. The tightening continued the trend reported for the previous four quarters and was in line with the expectations expressed in the previous survey. The June 2022 survey respondents expected price and non-price terms to tighten further for almost all types of counterparty over the period from June 2022 to August 2022.

Turning to securities financing transactions, survey responses gave a mixed picture regarding financing conditions. This was reflected in the net percentages of respondents reporting a slightly lower maximum amount and a slightly higher maximum maturity of funding for most types of euro-denominated collateral, and in increasing rates/spreads for funding secured against most collateral types. Haircuts applied to euro-denominated collateral had either increased or remained unchanged for most types of collateral. Responses also gave a mixed picture regarding demand for funding, with a significant share of respondents reporting higher demand for funding secured against government bonds but lower demand for funding secured against equities.

In the case of non-centrally cleared OTC derivatives, respondents reported that, over the March-May 2022 review period, initial margin requirements had increased for all OTC derivative types and liquidity and trading had deteriorated for most OTC derivative types. Valuation disputes had increased in volume, duration and persistence for almost all OTC derivative types over the review period, but most noticeably for credit derivatives referencing corporates and for commodity derivatives.

In view of the Russian invasion of Ukraine and the resulting volatile commodity derivative markets, special questions were included in the June 2022 survey to assess the impact of margin requirements on survey respondents' clients as well as on survey respondents' respective institutions. A small number of responding

institutions' clients were experiencing liquidity strains resulting from variation margin requirements on their commodity derivative portfolios, which were largely met using credit lines. Survey respondents did not report any general market shifts related to the volatile commodity derivative markets. However, more than half of respondents reported shifts from exchange-traded commodity derivatives to less collateralised market segments (e.g. non-centrally cleared OTC derivatives). Only a small number reported a shift between exchanges or products.

# Counterparty types

Overall credit terms and conditions tightened over the March-May 2022 review period. This tightening seems relatively contained compared with previous surveys at a time of crisis. On balance, survey respondents reported a tightening of price and non-price credit terms across all counterparties (Chart A). Price terms tightened for all counterparty types identified in the survey, although this trend was most pronounced for banks and dealers, investment funds and non-financial corporations. Survey respondents also reported tighter non-price terms for all counterparties except hedge funds, for which non-price terms remained, on balance, unchanged. The overall tightening of credit terms and conditions continued the trend reported for the previous four quarters and was in line with the expectations expressed in the March 2022 survey.

Respondents attributed the tightening of credit terms mainly to a deterioration in general market liquidity and functioning, as well as to concerns over an (expected) deterioration in the financial strength of counterparties.

Survey respondents expected overall credit terms to tighten further over the June-August 2022 review period (Chart A). Respondents expected tighter credit terms for most counterparty types, but especially banks and dealers as well as hedge funds. For non-financial corporations respondents expected, on balance, unchanged overall price terms and slightly tighter non-price terms.

### **Chart A**





#### Source: ECB.

Note: Net percentage is defined as the difference between the percentage of respondents reporting "tightened somewhat" or "tightened considerably" and the percentage reporting "eased somewhat" or "eased considerably".

A small net percentage of respondents reported that the practices of central counterparties (CCPs), including margin requirements and haircuts, contributed to the tightening of credit terms during the March-May 2022 review period.

# The amount of resources dedicated to managing concentrated credit

**exposures increased in the March-May 2022 review period**. Survey respondents reported that resources dedicated to concentrated credit exposures to banks and dealers had increased on balance during the review period, with two survey respondents indicating that these resources had increased significantly. A small percentage of respondents also indicated that they had dedicated more resources to the management of credit exposures to CCPs.

A small percentage of respondents reported that, for hedge funds, the availability and use of financial leverage had decreased to some extent. The use of leverage by insurance companies remained unchanged, while one respondent indicated that the use of leverage by investment firms had, to some extent, lessened over the review period.

Respondents reported only a slight change in efforts made to negotiate more favourable terms. They reported a slight net increase in efforts made to negotiate

more favourable terms for banks and dealers, and a slight decrease for hedge funds, insurance companies and investment funds.

As in the March 2022 survey, respondents reported a mixed picture regarding the volume, duration and persistence of valuation disputes. One-fifth of respondents reported an increase in the volume, duration and persistence of valuation disputes with banks and dealers. However, respondents reported a low volume, duration and persistence of valuation disputes with other counterparties, although the picture was mixed.

# Securities financing

The maximum amount of funding offered to clients against euro-denominated collateral decreased slightly or remained unchanged across collateral types. A small net percentage of survey respondents reported a decrease in the maximum amount of funding offered to clients against collateral in the form of euro-denominated high-yield corporate bonds, high-quality non-financial corporate bonds, convertible securities, domestic government bonds, asset-backed securities and covered bonds. A more material reduction was reported for equities, with one-fifth of respondents reporting a decrease in the maximum amount of funding offered against collateral in the form of equities. The maximum amount of funding remained, on balance, unchanged for high-quality government, other government and high-quality financial corporate bonds.

The maximum maturity of funding offered against euro-denominated collateral increased slightly or remained unchanged for most collateral types. A small net percentage of respondents reported a slight increase in the maximum maturity of funding for high-quality government, other government, high quality non-financial corporate, high-yield corporate and covered bonds. Survey respondents reported, on balance, an unchanged maximum maturity of funding secured against domestic government bonds, high-quality government bonds, equities and asset-backed securities, as well as a slight decrease in the maximum maturity of funding secured against convertible securities.

# Haircuts applied to euro-denominated collateral increased or remained unchanged for most collateral types. A small net percentage of survey

respondents reported an increase in haircuts applied to high-quality government and covered bonds, while a small net percentage of survey respondents reported a slight decrease for high-yield corporate bonds. Haircuts remained unchanged, on balance, for domestic and other government bonds, high-quality financial and non-financial corporate bonds, convertible and asset-backed securities, as well as equities. For most-favoured clients there was a slight decrease in haircuts applied to convertible securities.

Financing rates/spreads increased for financing secured against all collateral types except equities. The net shares of respondents reporting increased financing

rates/spreads were highest for convertible securities, domestic government bonds and high-quality government bonds. On balance, respondents also reported less favourable financing conditions where high-yield corporate bonds and asset-backed securities were used as collateral. Funding conditions for equities remained, on balance, unchanged for average clients, although they improved slightly for mostfavoured clients.

Survey respondents reported a mixed picture regarding the use of CCPs. A

small net percentage of respondents reported an increase in the use of CCPs for collateral in the form of domestic and high-quality government bonds, as well as high-quality non-financial corporate bonds. Meanwhile, the use of CCPs for other government bonds and high-quality financial corporate bonds decreased slightly. Respondents reported, on balance, an unchanged use of CCPs for high-yield corporate bonds, convertible securities, equities and asset-backed securities.

**Covenants and triggers remained unchanged for all collateral types except convertible securities.** Survey respondents reported – for both average and mostfavoured clients – unchanged conditions for the covenants and triggers under which most types of collateral (except euro-denominated convertible securities) were funded. A small percentage of respondents reported that the covenants and triggers under which collateral in the form of euro-denominated convertible securities was funded had eased somewhat over the review period.

Survey respondents reported a mixed picture regarding demand for funding, with a significant share of respondents reporting higher demand for funding secured against government bonds but lower demand for funding secured against equities. Respondents reported an increase in demand for funding offered against domestic, high-quality and other government, high-quality financial and highyield corporate bonds, as well as that offered against asset-backed securities. By contrast, there was a decrease in demand for funding offered against convertible securities and, in particular, that using equities as collateral. While respondents reported, on balance, unchanged overall demand for funding secured against highquality non-financial corporate bonds and covered bonds, a small net percentage saw an increase in term funding for these collateral types.

**The liquidity of all collateral types continued to deteriorate.** Survey respondents reported a deterioration in liquidity conditions for most collateral types, especially euro-denominated domestic and high-quality and other government bonds, as well as high-quality financial and high-yield corporate bonds (Chart B).

#### **Chart B**

Liquidity of collateral



Source: ECB

Note: Net percentage is defined as the difference between the percentage of respondents reporting "decreased considerably" or "decreased somewhat" and the percentage reporting "increased somewhat" and "increased considerably".

The volume and duration of collateral valuation disputes remained unchanged for all collateral types except high-quality government bonds. For the March-May 2022 review period a small net percentage of survey respondents reported an increase in the volume and duration of valuation disputes for high-quality government bond collateral. The volume and duration of valuation disputes remained unchanged for all other collateral types.

# Non-centrally cleared OTC derivatives

Initial margin requirements increased for all OTC derivatives during the March-May 2022 review period. A net percentage of survey respondents reported an increase in initial margin requirements for all OTC derivative types. The increases for average clients differed from those for most-favoured clients in the case of two types of OTC derivative: the initial margin requirements for foreign exchange and commodity derivatives increased more for average clients than for most-favoured clients.

The maximum amount of exposure and the maximum maturity of trades increased or remained unchanged for all OTC derivative types. Small net percentages of survey respondents reported an increase in the maximum amount of exposure and the maximum maturity of trades for foreign exchange derivatives and total return swaps referencing non-securities. The maximum amount of exposure increased for credit derivatives referencing sovereigns, corporates and structured credit products, while it remained unchanged, on balance, for interest rate, equity and commodity derivatives. A small net percentage of survey respondents reported an increase in the maximum maturity of trades for commodity derivatives.

#### Liquidity and trading remained, on balance, unchanged for most OTC

**derivative types.** Small net percentages of survey respondents reported a slight improvement in liquidity and trading conditions for foreign exchange derivatives and total return swaps referencing non-securities, and a slight deterioration for interest rate derivatives. For all other OTC derivative types liquidity and trading conditions remained, on balance, unchanged.

### There was an increase in the volume, duration and persistence of valuation

**disputes for almost all OTC derivative types.** Over the review period the volume, duration and persistence of valuation disputes increased most noticeably for credit derivatives referencing corporates, and for commodity derivatives. Meanwhile the volume, duration and persistence of valuation disputes for equity derivatives remained unchanged on balance. For total return swaps referencing non-securities, a small net percentage of survey respondents reported a decrease in the duration and persistence of valuation disputes, as well as an unchanged volume.

### Respondents reported few changes in new or renegotiated master

**agreements.** One respondent reported slightly tighter margin call practices and other documentation changes (e.g. credit support annex amendments to address the discount rate switch from the euro overnight index average to the euro short-term rate) incorporated into new or renegotiated master agreements. One respondent also reported slightly easier conditions for determining acceptable collateral.

### The posting of non-standard collateral remained unchanged on balance.

# **Special questions**

The special questions included in the June 2022 survey assessed the risks faced by participants' clients from volatile commodity derivative markets as well as the risks faced by participants' respective institutions, and the responses of clients and banks, including the underlying drivers. The survey also assessed other market shifts and the underlying drivers.

# Risks faced by clients arising from volatile commodity derivative markets

Approximately half of responding institutions whose clients held commodity derivative portfolios reported that a small number of their clients had experienced liquidity strains because of margin calls on these portfolios after the Russian invasion of Ukraine (**Chart C, panel a**). The type of client experiencing liquidity strains ranged from commodity traders and gas, oil, energy and power producers, to utilities, corporates and pension funds. The level of strain – assessed as extensive by five out of 11 respondents (**Chart C, panel b**) – was associated predominantly with client-specific hedge portfolios. Credit lines were used to meet the – mostly variation margin-induced – margin calls (**Chart C, panels c and d**). Responding institutions saw the increase in margin requirements as largely warranted by the level of commodity price volatility.

### **Chart C**



(June 2022; number)

a) Did some of your clients face liquidity strains because of margin calls on their commodity derivative portfolios after the Russian invasion of Ukraine?







Source: ECB.

# Chart C (continued)

Risks from volatile commodity derivative markets faced by survey participants' clients

(June 2022; number)



c) Which source(s) of liquidity did your clients use to meet margin calls?





Source: ECB.

Notes: A previous version of the report displayed an incorrect chart in panel d.

# Risks from volatile commodity derivative markets faced by responding institutions

For the majority of survey respondents, volatile commodity derivative markets did not affect the risks faced by their institution. The risks to those institutions affected stemmed predominantly from derivative portfolios with clients (**Chart D**, **panel a**) rather than from the bank's own derivative portfolios (**Chart D**, **panel b**).

# **Chart D**

### Risks from volatile commodity derivative markets faced by responding institutions

(June 2022; number)



a) Did the volatile commodity derivative markets affect your institution's risks via clients' derivative portfolios?

b) Did the volatile commodity derivative markets affect your institution's risks via your own derivative portfolios?



Source: ECB.

### Other market shifts

Survey respondents did not report any general market shifts related to the volatile commodity derivative markets. However, more than half of respondents reported shifts from exchange-traded commodity derivatives to less collateralised market segments (e.g. non-centrally cleared OTC derivatives) (Chart E, panel a). Only a small number reported a shift between exchanges or products (Chart E, panel b).

# Chart E

#### Market shifts related to the volatile commodity derivative markets

(June 2022; number)

a) Have you observed any shift from exchange-traded commodity derivatives to less collateralised market segments (e.g. non-centrally cleared OTC derivatives)?







Source: ECB.

# 1 Counterparty types

# 1.1 Realised and expected changes in price and non-price credit terms

Over the past three months, how have the [price] terms offered to [counterparty type/ all counterparties above] as reflected across the entire spectrum of securities financing and OTC derivatives transaction types changed, regardless of [non-price] terms?

Over the past three months, how have the [non-price] terms offered to [counterparty type/ all counterparties above] as reflected across the entire spectrum of securities financing and OTC derivatives transaction types changed, regardless of [price] terms?

Over the past three months, how have the [price and non-price] terms offered to [counterparty type/ all counterparties above] as reflected across the entire spectrum of securities financing and OTC derivatives transaction types changed [overall]?

### Table 1

|                                   | 1                         |                       | Remained               |                   |                       | Net per   | centage   |                            |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|
| Realised changes                  | Tightened<br>considerably | Tightened<br>somewhat | basically<br>unchanged | Eased<br>somewhat | Eased<br>considerably | Mar. 2022 | Jun. 2022 | Total number of<br>answers |
| Banks and dealers                 |                           |                       | g                      |                   |                       |           |           |                            |
| Price terms                       | 0                         | 39                    | 52                     | 9                 | 0                     | +19       | +30       | 23                         |
| Non-price terms                   | 0                         | 14                    | 82                     | 5                 | 0                     | -4        | +9        | 22                         |
| Overall                           | 0                         | 38                    | 57                     | 5                 | 0                     | +13       | +33       | 21                         |
| Hedge funds                       |                           |                       |                        |                   |                       |           |           |                            |
| Price terms                       | 0                         | 20                    | 70                     | 10                | 0                     | +5        | +10       | 20                         |
| Non-price terms                   | 0                         | 5                     | 90                     | 5                 | 0                     | 0         | 0         | 20                         |
| Overall                           | 0                         | 21                    | 74                     | 5                 | 0                     | +5        | +16       | 19                         |
| Insurance companies               |                           |                       |                        |                   |                       |           |           |                            |
| Price terms                       | 0                         | 27                    | 64                     | 9                 | 0                     | +12       | +18       | 22                         |
| Non-price terms                   | 0                         | 10                    | 86                     | 5                 | 0                     | 0         | +5        | 21                         |
| Overall                           | 0                         | 25                    | 70                     | 5                 | 0                     | +13       | +20       | 20                         |
| Investment funds (incl. ETFs), pe | ension plans and othe     | r institutional inve  | stment pools           |                   |                       |           |           |                            |
| Price terms                       | 0                         | 32                    | 59                     | 9                 | 0                     | +8        | +23       | 22                         |
| Non-price terms                   | 0                         | 10                    | 86                     | 5                 | 0                     | -4        | +5        | 21                         |
| Overall                           | 0                         | 30                    | 65                     | 5                 | 0                     | +8        | +25       | 20                         |
| Non-financial corporations        |                           |                       |                        |                   |                       |           |           |                            |
| Price terms                       | 0                         | 32                    | 55                     | 14                | 0                     | +15       | +18       | 22                         |
| Non-price terms                   | 0                         | 14                    | 76                     | 10                | 0                     | +4        | +5        | 21                         |
| Overall                           | 0                         | 32                    | 58                     | 11                | 0                     | +17       | +21       | 19                         |
| Sovereigns                        |                           |                       |                        |                   |                       |           |           |                            |
| Price terms                       | 0                         | 26                    | 65                     | 9                 | 0                     | +8        | +17       | 23                         |
| Non-price terms                   | 0                         | 9                     | 86                     | 5                 | 0                     | -9        | +5        | 22                         |
| Overall                           | 0                         | 24                    | 71                     | 5                 | 0                     | +5        | +19       | 21                         |
| All counterparties above          |                           |                       |                        |                   |                       |           |           |                            |
| Price terms                       | 0                         | 29                    | 63                     | 8                 | 0                     | +8        | +21       | 24                         |
| Non-price terms                   | 0                         | 9                     | 87                     | 4                 | 0                     | 0         | +4        | 23                         |
| Overall                           | 0                         | 26                    | 65                     | 9                 | 0                     | +12       | +17       | 23                         |

Note: The net percentage is defined as the difference between the percentage of respondents reporting "tightened considerably" or "tightened somewhat" and those reporting "eased somewhat" and "eased considerably".

# 1.1 Realised and expected changes in price and non-price credit terms (continued)

Over the next three months, how are the [price] terms offered to [counterparty type/ all counterparties above] as reflected across the entire spectrum of securities financing and OTC derivatives transaction types likely to change, regardless of [non-price] terms?

Over the next three months, how are the [non-price] terms offered to [counterparty type/ all counterparties above] as reflected across the entire spectrum of securities financing and OTC derivatives transaction types likely to change, regardless of [price] terms?

Over the next three months, how are the [price and non-price] terms offered to [counterparty type/ all counterparties above] as reflected across the entire spectrum of securities financing and OTC derivatives transaction types likely to change [overall]?

### Table 2

(in percentages, except for the total number of answers)

|                                 |                                   |                      |                               |                            |                                | Net per   | centage   |                            |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|
| Expected changes                | Likely to tighten<br>considerably | somewhat             | Likely to remain<br>unchanged | Likely to ease<br>somewhat | Likely to ease<br>considerably | Mar. 2022 | Jun. 2022 | Total number of<br>answers |
| Banks and dealers               |                                   |                      |                               |                            |                                |           |           |                            |
| Price terms                     | 4                                 | 17                   | 70                            | 9                          | 0                              | +24       | +13       | 23                         |
| Non-price terms                 | 0                                 | 19                   | 76                            | 5                          | 0                              | +17       | +14       | 21                         |
| Overall                         | 0                                 | 24                   | 67                            | 10                         | 0                              | +25       | +14       | 21                         |
| ledge funds                     |                                   |                      |                               |                            |                                |           |           |                            |
| Price terms                     | 0                                 | 15                   | 80                            | 5                          | 0                              | +19       | +10       | 20                         |
| Non-price terms                 | 0                                 | 11                   | 84                            | 5                          | 0                              | +10       | +5        | 19                         |
| Overall                         | 0                                 | 16                   | 79                            | 5                          | 0                              | +15       | +11       | 19                         |
| nsurance companies              |                                   |                      |                               |                            |                                |           |           |                            |
| Price terms                     | 5                                 | 9                    | 77                            | 9                          | 0                              | +16       | +5        | 22                         |
| Non-price terms                 | 0                                 | 15                   | 80                            | 5                          | 0                              | +8        | +10       | 20                         |
| Overall                         | 0                                 | 15                   | 75                            | 10                         | 0                              | +17       | +5        | 20                         |
| nvestment funds (incl. ETFs), p | ension plans and othe             | r institutional inve | stment pools                  |                            |                                |           |           |                            |
| Price terms                     | 5                                 | 9                    | 77                            | 9                          | 0                              | +20       | +5        | 22                         |
| Non-price terms                 | 0                                 | 10                   | 85                            | 5                          | 0                              | +8        | +5        | 20                         |
| Overall                         | 0                                 | 15                   | 75                            | 10                         | 0                              | +17       | +5        | 20                         |
| Ion-financial corporations      |                                   |                      |                               |                            |                                |           |           |                            |
| Price terms                     | 5                                 | 9                    | 73                            | 14                         | 0                              | +20       | 0         | 22                         |
| Non-price terms                 | 0                                 | 15                   | 75                            | 10                         | 0                              | +8        | +5        | 20                         |
| Overall                         | 0                                 | 16                   | 68                            | 16                         | 0                              | +17       | 0         | 19                         |
| Sovereigns                      |                                   |                      |                               |                            |                                |           |           |                            |
| Price terms                     | 4                                 | 13                   | 70                            | 9                          | 4                              | +26       | +4        | 23                         |
| Non-price terms                 | 0                                 | 14                   | 76                            | 5                          | 5                              | +18       | +5        | 21                         |
| Overall                         | 0                                 | 19                   | 67                            | 10                         | 5                              | +27       | +5        | 21                         |
| Il counterparties above         |                                   |                      |                               |                            |                                |           |           |                            |
| Price terms                     | 4                                 | 17                   | 71                            | 8                          | 0                              | +16       | +13       | 24                         |
| Non-price terms                 | 0                                 | 13                   | 83                            | 4                          | 0                              | +8        | +9        | 23                         |
| Overall                         | 0                                 | 22                   | 70                            | 9                          | 0                              | +17       | +13       | 23                         |

Note: The net percentage is defined as the difference between the percentage of respondents reporting "likely to tighten considerably" or "likely to tighten somewhat" and those reporting "likely to ease somewhat" and "likely to ease considerably".

1.2 Reasons for changes in price and non-price credit terms To the extent that [price/ non-price] terms applied to [banks and dealers] have tightened or eased over the past three months (as reflected in your responses in Section 1.1), what was the [first/ second/ third] most important reason for the change?

Table 3 (in percentages, except for the total number of answers)

| in percentages, except for the total number of answers)      | First  | Second | Third  |           | , second or<br>reason |
|--|--------|--------|--------|-----------|-----------------------|
| Banks and dealers  | reason | reason | reason | Mar. 2022 | Jun. 2022             |
| Price terms  |        |        |        |           |                       |
| Possible reasons for tightening                              |        |        |        |           |                       |
| Current or expected financial strength of counterparties     | 0      | 25     | 50     | 14        | 14                    |
| Willingness of your institution to take on risk              | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Adoption of new market conventions (e.g. ISDA protocols)     | 0      | 25     | 0      | 7         | 7                     |
| Internal treasury charges for funding                        | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Availability of balance sheet or capital at your institution | 0      | 0      | 0      | 7         | 0                     |
| General market liquidity and functioning                     | 75     | 50     | 0      | 50        | 57                    |
| Competition from other institutions                          | 0      | 0      | 50     | 7         | 7                     |
| Other  | 25     | 0      | 0      | 14        | 14                    |
| Total number of answers                                      | 8      | 4      | 2      | 14        | 14                    |
| Possible reasons for easing                                  |        |        |        |           |                       |
| Current or expected financial strength of counterparties     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Willingness of your institution to take on risk              | 0      | 0      | 0      | 33        | 0                     |
| Adoption of new market conventions (e.g. ISDA protocols)     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Internal treasury charges for funding                        | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Availability of balance sheet or capital at your institution | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| General market liquidity and functioning                     | 100    | 0      | 0      | 33        | 50                    |
| Competition from other institutions                          | 0      | 100    | 0      | 33        | 50                    |
| Other  | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Total number of answers                                      | 1      | 1      | 0      | 3         | 2                     |
| on-price terms   |        |        |        |           |                       |
| Possible reasons for tightening                              |        |        |        |           |                       |
| Current or expected financial strength of counterparties     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Willingness of your institution to take on risk              | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Adoption of new market conventions (e.g. ISDA protocols)     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 50        | 0                     |
| Internal treasury charges for funding                        | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Availability of balance sheet or capital at your institution | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| General market liquidity and functioning                     | 67     | 100    | 0      | 50        | 75                    |
| Competition from other institutions                          | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Other  | 33     | 0      | 0      | 0         | 25                    |
| Total number of answers                                      | 3      | 1      | 0      | 2         | 4                     |
| Possible reasons for easing                                  |        |        |        |           |                       |
| Current or expected financial strength of counterparties     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Willingness of your institution to take on risk              | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Adoption of new market conventions (e.g. ISDA protocols)     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Internal treasury charges for funding                        | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Availability of balance sheet or capital at your institution | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| General market liquidity and functioning                     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 100       | 0                     |
| Competition from other institutions                          | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Other  | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Total number of answers                                      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 1         | 0                     |

# 1.2 Reasons for changes in price and non-price credit terms (continued)

To the extent that [price/ non-price] terms applied to [hedge funds] have tightened or eased over the past three months (as reflected in your responses in Section 1.1), what was the [first/ second/ third] most important reason for the change?

#### Table 4

(in percentages, except for the total number of answers) Either first, second or third reason First Second Third Jun. 2022 Hedge funds Mar. 2022 reason reason reason Price terms Possible reasons for tightening Current or expected financial strength of counterparties Willingness of your institution to take on risk Adoption of new market conventions (e.g. ISDA protocols) Internal treasury charges for funding Availability of balance sheet or capital at your institution General market liquidity and functioning Competition from other institutions Other Total number of answers Possible reasons for easing Current or expected financial strength of counterparties Willingness of your institution to take on risk Adoption of new market conventions (e.g. ISDA protocols) Internal treasury charges for funding Availability of balance sheet or capital at your institution General market liquidity and functioning Competition from other institutions Other Total number of answers Non-price terms Possible reasons for tightening Current or expected financial strength of counterparties Willingness of your institution to take on risk Adoption of new market conventions (e.g. ISDA protocols) Internal treasury charges for funding Availability of balance sheet or capital at your institution General market liquidity and functioning Competition from other institutions Other Total number of answers Possible reasons for easing Current or expected financial strength of counterparties Willingness of your institution to take on risk Adoption of new market conventions (e.g. ISDA protocols) Internal treasury charges for funding Availability of balance sheet or capital at your institution General market liquidity and functioning Competition from other institutions Othe Total number of answers 

1.2 Reasons for changes in price and non-price credit terms (continued) To the extent that [price/ non-price] terms applied to [insurance companies] have tightened or eased over the past three months (as reflected in your responses in Section 1.1), what was the [first/ second/ third] most important reason for the change?

Table 5 (in percentages, except for the total number of answers)

| in percentages, except for the total number of answers)      | First  | Second | Third  |           | , second or<br>eason |
|--|--------|--------|--------|-----------|----------------------|
| Insurance companies  | reason | reason | reason | Mar. 2022 | Jun. 2022            |
| Price terms  |        |        | -      |           |                      |
| Possible reasons for tightening                              |        |        |        |           |                      |
| Current or expected financial strength of counterparties     | 0      | 0      | 100    | 20        | 13                   |
| Willingness of your institution to take on risk              | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |
| Adoption of new market conventions (e.g. ISDA protocols)     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |
| Internal treasury charges for funding                        | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |
| Availability of balance sheet or capital at your institution | 0      | 0      | 0      | 10        | 0                    |
| General market liquidity and functioning                     | 83     | 100    | 0      | 50        | 75                   |
| Competition from other institutions                          | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |
| Other  | 17     | 0      | 0      | 20        | 12                   |
| Total number of answers                                      | 6      | 1      | 1      | 10        | 8                    |
| Possible reasons for easing                                  |        |        |        |           |                      |
| Current or expected financial strength of counterparties     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |
| Willingness of your institution to take on risk              | 0      | 0      | 0      | 33        | 0                    |
| Adoption of new market conventions (e.g. ISDA protocols)     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |
| Internal treasury charges for funding                        | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |
| Availability of balance sheet or capital at your institution | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |
| General market liquidity and functioning                     | 100    | 0      | 0      | 33        | 50                   |
| Competition from other institutions                          | 0      | 100    | 0      | 33        | 50                   |
| Other  | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |
| Total number of answers                                      | 1      | 1      | 0      | 3         | 2                    |
| on-price terms   |        |        |        |           |                      |
| Possible reasons for tightening                              |        |        |        |           |                      |
| Current or expected financial strength of counterparties     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |
| Willingness of your institution to take on risk              | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |
| Adoption of new market conventions (e.g. ISDA protocols)     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |
| Internal treasury charges for funding                        | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |
| Availability of balance sheet or capital at your institution | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |
| General market liquidity and functioning                     | 100    | 0      | 0      | 100       | 100                  |
| Competition from other institutions                          | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |
| Other  | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |
| Total number of answers                                      | 2      | 0      | 0      | 1         | 2                    |
| Possible reasons for easing                                  |        |        |        |           |                      |
| Current or expected financial strength of counterparties     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |
| Willingness of your institution to take on risk              | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |
| Adoption of new market conventions (e.g. ISDA protocols)     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |
| Internal treasury charges for funding                        | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |
| Availability of balance sheet or capital at your institution | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |
| General market liquidity and functioning                     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |
| Competition from other institutions                          | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |
| Other  | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |
| Total number of answers                                      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                    |

1.2 Reasons for changes in price and non-price credit terms (continued) To the extent that [price/ non-price] terms applied to [investment funds (incl. ETFs), pension plans and other institutional investment pools] have tightened or eased over the past three months (as reflected in your responses in Section 1.1), what was the [first/ second/ third] most important reason for the change?

### Table 6

| Investment funds (incl. ETFs), pension plans and other institutional  | First  | Second | Third  |           | , second or<br>reason |
|---|--------|--------|--------|-----------|-----------------------|
| investment runds (incl. E 113), pension plans and other institutional | reason | reason | reason | Mar. 2022 | Jun. 2022             |
| Price terms   |        |        |        |           |                       |
| Possible reasons for tightening                                       |        |        |        |           |                       |
| Current or expected financial strength of counterparties              | 0      | 50     | 50     | 22        | 18                    |
| Willingness of your institution to take on risk                       | 0      | 0      | 0      | 11        | 0                     |
| Adoption of new market conventions (e.g. ISDA protocols)              | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Internal treasury charges for funding                                 | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Availability of balance sheet or capital at your institution          | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| General market liquidity and functioning                              | 86     | 50     | 0      | 44        | 64                    |
| Competition from other institutions                                   | 0      | 0      | 50     | 0         | 9                     |
| Other   | 14     | 0      | 0      | 22        | 9                     |
| Total number of answers   | 7      | 2      | 2      | 9         | 11                    |
| Possible reasons for easing   |        |        |        |           |                       |
| Current or expected financial strength of counterparties              | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Willingness of your institution to take on risk                       | 0      | 0      | 0      | 25        | 0                     |
| Adoption of new market conventions (e.g. ISDA protocols)              | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Internal treasury charges for funding                                 | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Availability of balance sheet or capital at your institution          | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| General market liquidity and functioning                              | 100    | 0      | 0      | 50        | 50                    |
| Competition from other institutions                                   | 0      | 100    | 0      | 25        | 50                    |
| Other   | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Total number of answers   | 1      | 1      | 0      | 4         | 2                     |
| Non-price terms   | •      | •      | 0      | ·         | -                     |
| Possible reasons for tightening                                       |        |        |        |           |                       |
| Current or expected financial strength of counterparties              | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Willingness of your institution to take on risk                       | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Adoption of new market conventions (e.g. ISDA protocols)              | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Internal treasury charges for funding                                 | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Availability of balance sheet or capital at your institution          | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| General market liquidity and functioning                              | 100    | 0      | 0      | 100       | 100                   |
| Competition from other institutions                                   | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Other   | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Total number of answers   | 2      | 0      | 0      | 1         | 2                     |
| Possible reasons for easing   | 2      | 0      | 0      |           | -                     |
| Current or expected financial strength of counterparties              | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Willingness of your institution to take on risk                       | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Adoption of new market conventions (e.g. ISDA protocols)              | 0      | 0      | 0<br>0 | 0         | 0                     |
| Internal treasury charges for funding                                 | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Availability of balance sheet or capital at your institution          | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| General market liquidity and functioning                              | 0      | 0      | 0      | 100       | 0                     |
| Competition from other institutions                                   | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Other   | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Total number of answers   | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |

1.2 Reasons for changes in price and non-price credit terms (continued) To the extent that [price/ non-price] terms applied to [non-financial corporations] have tightened or eased over the past three months (as reflected in your responses in Section 1.1), what was the [first/ second/ third] most important reason for the change?

Table 7

|  | First  | Second | Third  |           | , second or<br>reason |
|--|--------|--------|--------|-----------|-----------------------|
| Non-financial corporations                                   | reason | reason | reason | Mar. 2022 | Jun. 2022             |
| rice terms   |        |        |        |           |                       |
| Possible reasons for tightening                              |        |        |        |           |                       |
| Current or expected financial strength of counterparties     | 14     | 0      | 50     | 23        | 18                    |
| Willingness of your institution to take on risk              | 0      | 0      | 50     | 8         | 9                     |
| Adoption of new market conventions (e.g. ISDA protocols)     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Internal treasury charges for funding                        | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Availability of balance sheet or capital at your institution | 0      | 0      | 0      | 8         | 0                     |
| General market liquidity and functioning                     | 71     | 100    | 0      | 46        | 64                    |
| Competition from other institutions                          | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Other  | 14     | 0      | 0      | 15        | 9                     |
| Total number of answers                                      | 7      | 2      | 2      | 13        | 11                    |
| Possible reasons for easing                                  |        |        |        |           |                       |
| Current or expected financial strength of counterparties     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Willingness of your institution to take on risk              | 0      | 0      | 0      | 33        | 0                     |
| Adoption of new market conventions (e.g. ISDA protocols)     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Internal treasury charges for funding                        | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Availability of balance sheet or capital at your institution | 0      | 0      | 100    | 0         | 20                    |
| General market liquidity and functioning                     | 100    | 0      | 0      | 33        | 40                    |
| Competition from other institutions                          | 0      | 100    | 0      | 33        | 40                    |
| Other  | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Total number of answers                                      | 2      | 2      | 1      | 3         | 5                     |
| on-price terms   |        |        |        |           |                       |
| Possible reasons for tightening                              |        |        |        |           |                       |
| Current or expected financial strength of counterparties     | 33     | 0      | 0      | 25        | 20                    |
| Willingness of your institution to take on risk              | 0      | 0      | 100    | 25        | 20                    |
| Adoption of new market conventions (e.g. ISDA protocols)     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Internal treasury charges for funding                        | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Availability of balance sheet or capital at your institution | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| General market liquidity and functioning                     | 67     | 100    | 0      | 50        | 60                    |
| Competition from other institutions                          | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Other  | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Total number of answers                                      | 3      | 1      | 1      | 4         | 5                     |
| Possible reasons for easing                                  |        |        |        |           |                       |
| Current or expected financial strength of counterparties     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Willingness of your institution to take on risk              | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Adoption of new market conventions (e.g. ISDA protocols)     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Internal treasury charges for funding                        | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Availability of balance sheet or capital at your institution | 0      | 0      | 100    | 0         | 33                    |
| General market liquidity and functioning                     | 100    | 0      | 0      | 0         | 33                    |
| Competition from other institutions                          | 0      | 100    | 0      | 0         | 33                    |
| Other  | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Total number of answers                                      | 1      | 1      | 1      | 0         | 3                     |

1.2 Reasons for changes in price and non-price credit terms (continued) To the extent that [price/ non-price] terms applied to [sovereigns] have tightened or eased over the past three months (as reflected in your responses in Section 1.1), what was the [first/ second/ third] most important reason for the change?

### Table 8

| (in percentages, except for the total number of answers)     | First  | Second | Third  |           | , second or<br>reason |
|--|--------|--------|--------|-----------|-----------------------|
| Sovereigns   | reason | reason | reason | Mar. 2022 | Jun. 2022             |
| Price terms  |        |        |        |           |                       |
| Possible reasons for tightening                              |        |        |        |           |                       |
| Current or expected financial strength of counterparties     | 0      | 0      | 100    | 17        | 13                    |
| Willingness of your institution to take on risk              | 0      | 0      | 0      | 8         | 0                     |
| Adoption of new market conventions (e.g. ISDA protocols)     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Internal treasury charges for funding                        | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Availability of balance sheet or capital at your institution | 0      | 0      | 0      | 17        | 0                     |
| General market liquidity and functioning                     | 83     | 100    | 0      | 42        | 75                    |
| Competition from other institutions                          | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Other  | 17     | 0      | 0      | 17        | 12                    |
| Total number of answers                                      | 6      | 1      | 1      | 12        | 8                     |
| Possible reasons for easing                                  |        |        |        |           |                       |
| Current or expected financial strength of counterparties     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Willingness of your institution to take on risk              | 0      | 0      | 0      | 25        | 0                     |
| Adoption of new market conventions (e.g. ISDA protocols)     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Internal treasury charges for funding                        | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Availability of balance sheet or capital at your institution | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| General market liquidity and functioning                     | 100    | 0      | 0      | 50        | 50                    |
| Competition from other institutions                          | 0      | 100    | 0      | 25        | 50                    |
| Other  | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Total number of answers                                      | 1      | 1      | 0      | 4         | 2                     |
| Ion-price terms  |        |        |        |           |                       |
| Possible reasons for tightening                              |        |        |        |           |                       |
| Current or expected financial strength of counterparties     | 50     | 0      | 0      | 0         | 50                    |
| Willingness of your institution to take on risk              | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Adoption of new market conventions (e.g. ISDA protocols)     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Internal treasury charges for funding                        | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Availability of balance sheet or capital at your institution | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| General market liquidity and functioning                     | 50     | 0      | 0      | 0         | 50                    |
| Competition from other institutions                          | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Other  | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Total number of answers                                      | 2      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 2                     |
| Possible reasons for easing                                  |        |        |        |           |                       |
| Current or expected financial strength of counterparties     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Willingness of your institution to take on risk              | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Adoption of new market conventions (e.g. ISDA protocols)     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Internal treasury charges for funding                        | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Availability of balance sheet or capital at your institution | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| General market liquidity and functioning                     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 100       | 0                     |
| Competition from other institutions                          | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Other  | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0                     |
| Total number of answers                                      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 1         | 0                     |

# 1.2 Reasons for changes in price and non-price credit terms (continued)

To what extent have changes in the practices of [central counterparties], including margin requirements and haircuts, influenced the credit terms your institution applies to clients on bilateral transactions which are not cleared?

#### Table 9

| (in percentages, except for the total r | number of answers) |             |              |             |                 |           |           |                 |
|---|--------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|
|   | Contributed        | Contributed |              | Contributed | Contributed     | Net per   | centage   |                 |
|   | considerably to    | somewhat to | Neutral      | somewhat to | considerably to |           |           | Total number of |
| Price and non-price terms               | tightening         | tightening  | contribution | easing      | easing          | Mar. 2022 | Jun. 2022 | answers         |
| Practices of CCPs                       | 0                  | 18          | 73           | 9           | 0               | +9        | +9        | 11              |

Note: The net percentage is defined as the difference between the percentage of respondents reporting "contributed considerably to tightening" or "contributed somewhat to tightening" and those reporting "contributed somewhat to easing" and "contributed considerably to easing".

# 1.3 Resources and attention to the management of concentrated credit exposures

Over the past three months, how has the amount of resources and attention your firm devotes to the management of concentrated credit exposures to [large banks and dealers/ central counterparties] changed?

#### Table 10

| (in percentages, except for the total | number of answers)     |                    |                     |                    |                       |                  |                    |                 |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
|                                       |                        |                    | Remained            |                    |                       | Net per          | centage            |                 |
| Management of credit                  | Decreased              | Decreased          | basically           | Increased          | Increased             |                  |                    | Total number of |
| exposures                             | considerably           | somewhat           | unchanged           | somewhat           | considerably          | Mar. 2022        | Jun. 2022          | answers         |
| Banks and dealers                     | 0                      | 4                  | 75                  | 13                 | 8                     | -13              | -17                | 24              |
| Central counterparties                | 0                      | 0                  | 92                  | 4                  | 4                     | -8               | -8                 | 24              |
| Note: The net percentage is defined   | as the difference betw | veen the percentag | e of respondents re | porting "decreased | considerably" or "dec | creased somewhat | and those reportin | ng "increased   |

somewhat" and "increased considerably".

# 1.4 Leverage

Considering the entire range of transactions facilitated by your institution for such clients, how has the use of financial leverage by [hedge funds/ insurance companies/ investment funds (incl. ETFs), pension plans and other institutional investment pools] changed over the past three months?

Considering the entire range of transactions facilitated by your institution for [hedge funds], how has the availability of additional (and currently unutilised) financial leverage under agreements currently in place (for example, under prime brokerage agreements and other committed but undrawn or partly drawn facilities) changed over the past three months?

#### Table 11

| (in percentages, except for the total n | umber of answers)         |                       |                        |                    |                           |                |           |                            |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------------------|
|   |                           |                       | Remained               | Increased somewhat |                           | Net percentage |           |                            |
| Financial leverage                      | Decreased<br>considerably | Decreased<br>somewhat | basically<br>unchanged |                    | Increased<br>considerably | Mar. 2022      | Jun. 2022 | Total number of<br>answers |
| Hedge funds                             |                           |                       |                        |                    |                           |                | -         |                            |
| Use of financial leverage               | 0                         | 11                    | 89                     | 0                  | 0                         | +11            | +11       | 18                         |
| Availability of unutilised leverage     | 0                         | 0                     | 100                    | 0                  | 0                         | +6             | 0         | 17                         |
| Insurance companies                     |                           |                       |                        |                    |                           |                |           |                            |
| Use of financial leverage               | 0                         | 0                     | 100                    | 0                  | 0                         | 0              | 0         | 22                         |
| Investment funds (incl. ETFs), pens     | ion plans and othe        | r institutional inve  | stment pools           |                    |                           |                |           |                            |
| Use of financial leverage               | 0                         | 5                     | 95                     | 0                  | 0                         | +9             | +5        | 20                         |

Note: The net percentage is defined as the difference between the percentage of respondents reporting "decreased considerably" or "decreased somewhat" and those reporting "increased somewhat" and "increased considerably".

# 1.5 Client pressure and differential terms for most-favoured clients

How has the intensity of efforts by [counterparty type] to negotiate more favourable price and non-price terms changed over the past three months?

How has the provision of differential terms by your institution to most-favoured (as a consequence of breadth, duration, and extent of relationship) [counterparty type] changed over the past three months?

#### Table 12

|   |                           |                       | Remained<br>basically<br>unchanged | Increased<br>somewhat | Increased considerably | Net percentage |           |                            |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------------------|
| Client pressure   | Decreased<br>considerably | Decreased<br>somewhat |                                    |                       |                        | Mar. 2022      | Jun. 2022 | Total number of<br>answers |
| Banks and dealers   |                           |                       |                                    |                       |                        |                |           | -                          |
| Intensity of efforts to negotiate<br>more favourable terms  | 0                         | 5                     | 85                                 | 10                    | 0                      | 0              | -5        | 20                         |
| Provision of differential terms to<br>most-favoured clients | 0                         | 0                     | 95                                 | 5                     | 0                      | 0              | -5        | 20                         |
| Hedge funds   |                           |                       |                                    |                       |                        |                |           |                            |
| Intensity of efforts to negotiate<br>more favourable terms  | 0                         | 11                    | 89                                 | 0                     | 0                      | -5             | +11       | 19                         |
| Provision of differential terms to<br>most-favoured clients | 0                         | 0                     | 100                                | 0                     | 0                      | 0              | 0         | 19                         |
| nsurance companies  |                           |                       |                                    |                       |                        |                |           |                            |
| Intensity of efforts to negotiate more favourable terms     | 0                         | 5                     | 95                                 | 0                     | 0                      | +5             | +5        | 21                         |
| Provision of differential terms to<br>most-favoured clients | 0                         | 0                     | 100                                | 0                     | 0                      | +5             | 0         | 21                         |
| nvestment funds (incl. ETFs), pens                          | sion plans and othe       | r institutional inve  | stment pools                       |                       |                        |                |           |                            |
| Intensity of efforts to negotiate<br>more favourable terms  | 0                         | 11                    | 84                                 | 5                     | 0                      | 0              | +5        | 19                         |
| Provision of differential terms to<br>most-favoured clients | 0                         | 5                     | 89                                 | 5                     | 0                      | 0              | 0         | 19                         |
| Non-financial corporations                                  |                           |                       |                                    |                       |                        |                |           |                            |
| Intensity of efforts to negotiate<br>more favourable terms  | 0                         | 9                     | 82                                 | 9                     | 0                      | -4             | 0         | 22                         |
| Provision of differential terms to<br>most-favoured clients | 0                         | 5                     | 91                                 | 5                     | 0                      | 0              | 0         | 22                         |

Note: The net percentage is defined as the difference between the percentage of respondents reporting "decreased considerably" or "decreased somewhat" and those reporting "increased somewhat" and "increased considerably".

# 1.6 Valuation disputes

Over the past three months, how has the [volume/ duration and persistence] of valuation disputes with [counterparty type] changed?

### Table 13

|                                 |                           | Decreased<br>somewhat | Remained<br>basically<br>unchanged | Increased<br>somewhat | Increased considerably | Net percentage |           |                           |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------|---------------------------|
| Valuation disputes              | Decreased<br>considerably |                       |                                    |                       |                        | Mar. 2022      | Jun. 2022 | Total number o<br>answers |
| Banks and dealers               |                           |                       |                                    |                       |                        |                |           |                           |
| Volume                          | 0                         | 0                     | 82                                 | 18                    | 0                      | -5             | -18       | 22                        |
| Duration and persistence        | 0                         | 0                     | 86                                 | 14                    | 0                      | 0              | -14       | 22                        |
| ledge funds                     |                           |                       |                                    |                       |                        |                |           |                           |
| Volume                          | 0                         | 0                     | 100                                | 0                     | 0                      | 0              | 0         | 18                        |
| Duration and persistence        | 0                         | 0                     | 94                                 | 6                     | 0                      | -6             | -6        | 18                        |
| nsurance companies              |                           |                       |                                    |                       |                        |                |           |                           |
| Volume                          | 0                         | 0                     | 95                                 | 5                     | 0                      | +5             | -5        | 22                        |
| Duration and persistence        | 0                         | 0                     | 95                                 | 5                     | 0                      | +10            | -5        | 22                        |
| nvestment funds (incl. ETFs), p | ension plans and othe     | r institutional inve  | stment pools                       |                       |                        |                |           |                           |
| Volume                          | 0                         | 5                     | 95                                 | 0                     | 0                      | +5             | +5        | 21                        |
| Duration and persistence        | 0                         | 0                     | 100                                | 0                     | 0                      | 0              | 0         | 21                        |
| Non-financial corporations      |                           |                       |                                    |                       |                        |                |           |                           |
| Volume                          | 0                         | 0                     | 100                                | 0                     | 0                      | 0              | 0         | 23                        |
| Duration and persistence        | 0                         | 4                     | 96                                 | 0                     | 0                      | +14            | +4        | 23                        |

somewhat" and "increased considerably".

#### 2 Securities financing

#### Credit terms by collateral type for average and most-favoured clients 2.1

Over the past three months, how have the [maximum amount of funding/ maximum maturity of funding/ haircuts/ financing] rate/spreads/ use of CCPs] under which [collateral type] are funded changed for [average] clients (as a consequence of breadth, duration, and extent of relationship)?

Table 14 (in percentages, except for the total number of answers)

| (in percentages, except for the total | number of answers)     |                       |                       |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|
|                                       | Decreased              | Destroyed             | Remained<br>basically | Increased             | Increased                 | Net per   | rcentage  | Total number of            |
| Terms for average clients             | considerably           | Decreased<br>somewhat | unchanged             | Increased<br>somewhat | Increased<br>considerably | Mar. 2022 | Jun. 2022 | Total number of<br>answers |
| Domestic government bonds             | · · · · ·              |                       | <u> </u>              |                       | · · · · ·                 |           | •         |                            |
| Maximum amount of funding             | 0                      | 18                    | 71                    | 12                    | 0                         | -18       | +6        | 17                         |
| Maximum maturity of funding           | 0                      | 12                    | 76                    | 12                    | 0                         | -12       | 0         | 17                         |
| Haircuts                              | 0                      | 0                     | 100                   | 0                     | 0                         | 0         | 0         | 17                         |
| Financing rate/spread                 | 0                      | 6                     | 65                    | 18                    | 12                        | -6        | -24       | 17                         |
| Use of CCPs                           | 0                      | 0                     | 88                    | 13                    | 0                         | +7        | -13       | 16                         |
| High-quality government, sub-nat      | ional and supra-nation | onal bonds            |                       |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Maximum amount of funding             | 0                      | 13                    | 74                    | 13                    | 0                         | -11       | 0         | 23                         |
| Maximum maturity of funding           | 0                      | 4                     | 83                    | 13                    | 0                         | -15       | -9        | 23                         |
| Haircuts                              | 0                      | 0                     | 96                    | 4                     | 0                         | -7        | -4        | 23                         |
| Financing rate/spread                 | 4                      | 4                     | 61                    | 22                    | 9                         | -22       | -22       | 23                         |
| Use of CCPs                           | 0                      | 0                     | 95                    | 5                     | 0                         | +8        | -5        | 21                         |
| Other government, sub-national a      | nd supra-national bo   | onds                  |                       |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Maximum amount of funding             | 0                      | 9                     | 83                    | 9                     | 0                         | -4        | 0         | 23                         |
| Maximum maturity of funding           | 0                      | 4                     | 78                    | 17                    | 0                         | -8        | -13       | 23                         |
| Haircuts                              | 0                      | 0                     | 100                   | 0                     | 0                         | -8        | 0         | 23                         |
| Financing rate/spread                 | 0                      | 9                     | 78                    | 9                     | 4                         | -12       | -4        | 23                         |
| Use of CCPs                           | 0                      | 5                     | 95                    | 0                     | 0                         | +13       | +5        | 21                         |
| High-quality financial corporate b    | onds                   |                       |                       |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Maximum amount of funding             | 0                      | 10                    | 80                    | 10                    | 0                         | +5        | 0         | 20                         |
| Maximum maturity of funding           | 0                      | 10                    | 80                    | 10                    | 0                         | -14       | 0         | 20                         |
| Haircuts                              | 0                      | 0                     | 100                   | 0                     | 0                         | 0         | 0         | 20                         |
| Financing rate/spread                 | 0                      | 5                     | 75                    | 15                    | 5                         | -5        | -15       | 20                         |
| Use of CCPs                           | 0                      | 6                     | 94                    | 0                     | 0                         | 0         | +6        | 17                         |
| High-quality non-financial corpora    | ate bonds              |                       |                       |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Maximum amount of funding             | 0                      | 15                    | 80                    | 5                     | 0                         | +9        | +10       | 20                         |
| Maximum maturity of funding           | 0                      | 10                    | 75                    | 15                    | 0                         | -9        | -5        | 20                         |
| Haircuts                              | 0                      | 0                     | 100                   | 0                     | 0                         | 0         | 0         | 20                         |
| Financing rate/spread                 | 0                      | 5                     | 75                    | 15                    | 5                         | -4        | -15       | 20                         |
| Use of CCPs                           | 0                      | 0                     | 94                    | 6                     | 0                         | 0         | -6        | 16                         |
| High-yield corporate bonds            |                        |                       |                       |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Maximum amount of funding             | 0                      | 18                    | 76                    | 6                     | 0                         | -10       | +12       | 17                         |
| Maximum maturity of funding           | 0                      | 12                    | 71                    | 18                    | 0                         | -15       | -6        | 17                         |
| Haircuts                              | 0                      | 6                     | 94                    | 0                     | 0                         | 0         | +6        | 17                         |
| Financing rate/spread                 | 0                      | 6                     | 71                    | 18                    | 6                         | -5        | -18       | 17                         |
| Use of CCPs                           | 0                      | 0                     | 100                   | 0                     | 0                         | +15       | 0         | 12                         |

Note: The net percentage is defined as the difference between the percentage of respondents reporting "decreased considerably" or "decreased somewhat" and those reporting "increased somewhat" and "increased considerably". "Domestic government bonds" are euro-denominated government bonds issued by the government of the country where a respondent's head office is.

# 2.1 Credit terms by collateral type for average and most-favoured clients (continued) Over the past three months, how have the [maximum amount of funding/ maximum maturity of funding/ haircuts/ financing

Over the past three months, how have the [maximum amount of funding/ maximum maturity of funding/ haircuts/ financing rate/spreads/ use of CCPs] under which [collateral type] are funded changed for [average] clients (as a consequence of breadth, duration, and extent of relationship)?

### Table 15

(in percentages, except for the total number of answ

|                             | Decreased    | Decreased | Remained<br>basically | Increased | Increased    | Net per   | centage   | Total number o |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| Terms for average clients   | considerably | somewhat  | unchanged             | somewhat  | considerably | Mar. 2022 | Jun. 2022 | answers        |
| Convertible securities      | · · · · · ·  |           |                       |           | ź            |           |           |                |
| Maximum amount of funding   | 0            | 13        | 80                    | 7         | 0            | 0         | +7        | 15             |
| Maximum maturity of funding | 0            | 13        | 80                    | 7         | 0            | -13       | +7        | 15             |
| Haircuts                    | 0            | 0         | 100                   | 0         | 0            | -7        | 0         | 15             |
| Financing rate/spread       | 0            | 7         | 60                    | 27        | 7            | -33       | -27       | 15             |
| Use of CCPs                 | 0            | 0         | 100                   | 0         | 0            | +10       | 0         | 11             |
| quities                     |              |           |                       |           |              |           |           |                |
| Maximum amount of funding   | 0            | 22        | 78                    | 0         | 0            | +5        | +22       | 18             |
| Maximum maturity of funding | 0            | 11        | 78                    | 11        | 0            | -5        | 0         | 18             |
| Haircuts                    | 0            | 0         | 100                   | 0         | 0            | -5        | 0         | 18             |
| Financing rate/spread       | 0            | 22        | 56                    | 11        | 11           | -10       | 0         | 18             |
| Use of CCPs                 | 0            | 0         | 100                   | 0         | 0            | +6        | 0         | 13             |
| sset-backed securities      |              |           |                       |           |              |           |           |                |
| Maximum amount of funding   | 0            | 11        | 83                    | 6         | 0            | -11       | +6        | 18             |
| Maximum maturity of funding | 0            | 11        | 78                    | 11        | 0            | -6        | 0         | 18             |
| Haircuts                    | 0            | 6         | 89                    | 6         | 0            | -6        | 0         | 18             |
| Financing rate/spread       | 0            | 6         | 72                    | 17        | 6            | -6        | -17       | 18             |
| Use of CCPs                 | 0            | 0         | 100                   | 0         | 0            | +8        | 0         | 12             |
| overed bonds                |              |           |                       |           |              |           |           |                |
| Maximum amount of funding   | 0            | 9         | 86                    | 5         | 0            | -8        | +5        | 22             |
| Maximum maturity of funding | 0            | 5         | 82                    | 14        | 0            | -8        | -9        | 22             |
| Haircuts                    | 0            | 0         | 95                    | 5         | 0            | -4        | -5        | 22             |
| Financing rate/spread       | 0            | 5         | 82                    | 9         | 5            | -12       | -9        | 22             |
| Use of CCPs                 | 0            | 0         | 95                    | 5         | 0            | +10       | -5        | 19             |

Note: The net percentage is defined as the difference between the percentage of respondents reporting "decreased considerably" or "decreased somewhat" and those reporting "increased somewhat" and "increased considerably".

# 2.1 Credit terms by collateral type for average and most-favoured clients (continued) Over the past three months, how have the [maximum amount of funding/ maximum maturity of funding/ haircuts/ financing

rate/spreads/ use of CCPs] under which [collateral type] are funded changed for [most-favoured] clients (as a consequence of breadth, duration, and extent of relationship)?

Table 16 (in percentages, except for the total number of answers)

|                                     |                           |                       | Remained               |                       |                           | Net per   | centage   |                           |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------|
| Terms for most-favoured clients     | Decreased<br>considerably | Decreased<br>somewhat | basically<br>unchanged | Increased<br>somewhat | Increased<br>considerably | Mar. 2022 | Jun. 2022 | Total number o<br>answers |
| Domestic government bonds           |                           |                       |                        |                       |                           |           | -         |                           |
| Maximum amount of funding           | 0                         | 18                    | 71                     | 12                    | 0                         | -18       | +6        | 17                        |
| Maximum maturity of funding         | 0                         | 6                     | 82                     | 12                    | 0                         | -12       | -6        | 17                        |
| Haircuts                            | 0                         | 0                     | 100                    | 0                     | 0                         | 0         | 0         | 17                        |
| Financing rate/spread               | 0                         | 6                     | 65                     | 18                    | 12                        | 0         | -24       | 17                        |
| Use of CCPs                         | 0                         | 0                     | 94                     | 6                     | 0                         | +6        | -6        | 17                        |
| ligh-quality government, sub-nation | onal and supra-natio      | onal bonds            |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                           |
| Maximum amount of funding           | 0                         | 14                    | 76                     | 10                    | 0                         | -11       | +5        | 21                        |
| Maximum maturity of funding         | 0                         | 5                     | 86                     | 10                    | 0                         | -11       | -5        | 21                        |
| Haircuts                            | 0                         | 0                     | 100                    | 0                     | 0                         | -4        | 0         | 21                        |
| Financing rate/spread               | 0                         | 5                     | 67                     | 19                    | 10                        | -15       | -24       | 21                        |
| Use of CCPs                         | 0                         | 0                     | 95                     | 5                     | 0                         | +4        | -5        | 20                        |
| Other government, sub-national an   | d supra-national bo       | nds                   |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                           |
| Maximum amount of funding           | 0                         | 10                    | 86                     | 5                     | 0                         | 0         | +5        | 21                        |
| Maximum maturity of funding         | 0                         | 5                     | 81                     | 14                    | 0                         | -8        | -10       | 21                        |
| Haircuts                            | 0                         | 0                     | 100                    | 0                     | 0                         | -8        | 0         | 21                        |
| Financing rate/spread               | 0                         | 10                    | 76                     | 10                    | 5                         | -12       | -5        | 21                        |
| Use of CCPs                         | 0                         | 5                     | 95                     | 0                     | 0                         | +8        | +5        | 20                        |
| ligh-quality financial corporate bo | nds                       |                       |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                           |
| Maximum amount of funding           | 0                         | 11                    | 78                     | 11                    | 0                         | 0         | 0         | 18                        |
| Maximum maturity of funding         | 0                         | 11                    | 78                     | 11                    | 0                         | -19       | 0         | 18                        |
| Haircuts                            | 0                         | 0                     | 100                    | 0                     | 0                         | 0         | 0         | 18                        |
| Financing rate/spread               | 0                         | 6                     | 72                     | 17                    | 6                         | 0         | -17       | 18                        |
| Use of CCPs                         | 0                         | 7                     | 93                     | 0                     | 0                         | 0         | +7        | 15                        |
| ligh-quality non-financial corporat | e bonds                   |                       |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                           |
| Maximum amount of funding           | 0                         | 17                    | 78                     | 6                     | 0                         | 0         | +11       | 18                        |
| Maximum maturity of funding         | 0                         | 11                    | 72                     | 17                    | 0                         | -14       | -6        | 18                        |
| Haircuts                            | 0                         | 0                     | 100                    | 0                     | 0                         | +5        | 0         | 18                        |
| Financing rate/spread               | 0                         | 6                     | 72                     | 17                    | 6                         | 0         | -17       | 18                        |
| Use of CCPs                         | 0                         | 0                     | 93                     | 7                     | 0                         | 0         | -7        | 14                        |
| ligh-yield corporate bonds          |                           |                       |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                           |
| Maximum amount of funding           | 0                         | 19                    | 75                     | 6                     | 0                         | -11       | +13       | 16                        |
| Maximum maturity of funding         | 0                         | 13                    | 69                     | 19                    | 0                         | -21       | -6        | 16                        |
| Haircuts                            | 0                         | 6                     | 94                     | 0                     | 0                         | 0         | +6        | 16                        |
| Financing rate/spread               | 0                         | 6                     | 63                     | 25                    | 6                         | -5        | -25       | 16                        |
| Use of CCPs                         | 0                         | 0                     | 100                    | 0                     | 0                         | +14       | 0         | 13                        |

somewhat" and "increased considerably". "Domestic government bonds" are euro-denominated government bonds issued by the government of the country where a respondent's head office is.

# 2.1 Credit terms by collateral type for average and most-favoured clients (continued) Over the past three months, how have the [maximum amount of funding/ maximum maturity of funding/ haircuts/ financing

rate/spreads/ use of CCPs] under which [collateral type] are funded changed for [most-favoured] clients (as a consequence of breadth, duration, and extent of relationship)?

Table 17 (in percentages, except for the total number of answers)

|                                 |                           |                       | Remained               |                       |                           | Net per   | centage   |                            |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|
| Terms for most-favoured clients | Decreased<br>considerably | Decreased<br>somewhat | basically<br>unchanged | Increased<br>somewhat | Increased<br>considerably | Mar. 2022 | Jun. 2022 | Total number of<br>answers |
| Convertible securities          |                           |                       |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Maximum amount of funding       | 0                         | 15                    | 77                     | 8                     | 0                         | -8        | +8        | 13                         |
| Maximum maturity of funding     | 0                         | 15                    | 77                     | 8                     | 0                         | -15       | +8        | 13                         |
| Haircuts                        | 0                         | 0                     | 92                     | 8                     | 0                         | -8        | -8        | 13                         |
| Financing rate/spread           | 0                         | 8                     | 54                     | 31                    | 8                         | -38       | -31       | 13                         |
| Use of CCPs                     | 0                         | 0                     | 100                    | 0                     | 0                         | +10       | 0         | 10                         |
| Equities                        |                           |                       |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Maximum amount of funding       | 0                         | 24                    | 76                     | 0                     | 0                         | 0         | +24       | 17                         |
| Maximum maturity of funding     | 0                         | 12                    | 76                     | 12                    | 0                         | -5        | 0         | 17                         |
| Haircuts                        | 0                         | 0                     | 100                    | 0                     | 0                         | -5        | 0         | 17                         |
| Financing rate/spread           | 0                         | 29                    | 53                     | 12                    | 6                         | -5        | +12       | 17                         |
| Use of CCPs                     | 0                         | 0                     | 100                    | 0                     | 0                         | +6        | 0         | 12                         |
| Asset-backed securities         |                           |                       |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Maximum amount of funding       | 0                         | 13                    | 81                     | 6                     | 0                         | -11       | +6        | 16                         |
| Maximum maturity of funding     | 0                         | 13                    | 75                     | 13                    | 0                         | -6        | 0         | 16                         |
| Haircuts                        | 0                         | 6                     | 88                     | 6                     | 0                         | -6        | 0         | 16                         |
| Financing rate/spread           | 0                         | 6                     | 69                     | 19                    | 6                         | -6        | -19       | 16                         |
| Use of CCPs                     | 0                         | 0                     | 100                    | 0                     | 0                         | +8        | 0         | 10                         |
| Covered bonds                   |                           |                       |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Maximum amount of funding       | 0                         | 10                    | 85                     | 5                     | 0                         | -8        | +5        | 20                         |
| Maximum maturity of funding     | 0                         | 5                     | 80                     | 15                    | 0                         | -12       | -10       | 20                         |
| Haircuts                        | 0                         | 0                     | 95                     | 5                     | 0                         | -4        | -5        | 20                         |
| Financing rate/spread           | 0                         | 5                     | 80                     | 10                    | 5                         | -16       | -10       | 20                         |
| Use of CCPs                     | 0                         | 0                     | 94                     | 6                     | 0                         | +10       | -6        | 16                         |

Note: The net percentage is defined as the difference between the percentage of respondents reporting "decreased considerably" or "decreased somewhat" and those reporting "increased somewhat" and "increased considerably".

2.1 Credit terms by collateral type for average and most-favoured clients (continued) Over the past three months, how have the [covenants and triggers] under which [collateral type] are funded changed for [average/ most-favoured] clients (as a consequence of breadth, duration, and extent of relationship)?

| (in percentages, except for the total n                                  | umber of answers)         |                       |                       |          |              |           |           |                |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
|  | Tichtoned                 | Tichtoned             | Remained<br>basically | Eased    | Eased        | Net per   | centage   | Total number o |
| Covenants and triggers   | Tightened<br>considerably | Tightened<br>somewhat | unchanged             | somewhat | considerably | Mar. 2022 | Jun. 2022 | answers        |
| Domestic government bonds  | conclucially              | oomonnat              | unonangou             | oomonnat | conclusion   |           |           | unonoro        |
| Terms for average clients  | 0                         | 0                     | 100                   | 0        | 0            | 0         | 0         | 13             |
| Terms for most-favoured clients  | 0                         | 0                     | 100                   | 0        | 0            | 0         | 0         | 13             |
| High-quality government, sub-natio                                       | onal and supra-nation     | onal bonds            |                       |          |              |           |           |                |
| Terms for average clients  | 0                         | 0                     | 100                   | 0        | 0            | 0         | 0         | 18             |
| Terms for most-favoured clients  | 0                         | 0                     | 100                   | 0        | 0            | 0         | 0         | 16             |
| Other government, sub-national an  | nd supra-national bo      | onds                  |                       |          |              |           |           |                |
| Terms for average clients  | 0                         | 0                     | 100                   | 0        | 0            | 0         | 0         | 18             |
| Terms for most-favoured clients  | 0                         | 0                     | 100                   | 0        | 0            | 0         | 0         | 16             |
| High-quality financial corporate bo                                      | nds                       |                       |                       |          |              |           |           |                |
| Terms for average clients  | 0                         | 0                     | 100                   | 0        | 0            | 0         | 0         | 16             |
| Terms for most-favoured clients  | 0                         | 0                     | 100                   | 0        | 0            | 0         | 0         | 14             |
| High-quality non-financial corporat                                      | te bonds                  |                       |                       |          |              |           |           |                |
| Terms for average clients  | 0                         | 0                     | 100                   | 0        | 0            | 0         | 0         | 15             |
| Terms for most-favoured clients  | 0                         | 0                     | 100                   | 0        | 0            | 0         | 0         | 13             |
| High-yield corporate bonds   |                           |                       |                       |          |              |           |           |                |
| Terms for average clients  | 0                         | 0                     | 100                   | 0        | 0            | 0         | 0         | 13             |
| Terms for most-favoured clients  | 0                         | 0                     | 100                   | 0        | 0            | 0         | 0         | 13             |
| Convertible securities   |                           |                       |                       |          |              |           |           |                |
| Terms for average clients  | 0                         | 0                     | 92                    | 8        | 0            | -8        | -8        | 13             |
| Terms for most-favoured clients  | 0                         | 0                     | 92                    | 8        | 0            | -8        | -8        | 12             |
| Equities   |                           |                       |                       |          |              |           |           |                |
| Terms for average clients  | 0                         | 0                     | 100                   | 0        | 0            | 0         | 0         | 15             |
| Terms for most-favoured clients  | 0                         | 0                     | 100                   | 0        | 0            | 0         | 0         | 14             |
| Asset-backed securities  |                           |                       |                       |          |              |           |           |                |
| Terms for average clients  | 0                         | 0                     | 100                   | 0        | 0            | 0         | 0         | 14             |
| Terms for most-favoured clients  | 0                         | 0                     | 100                   | 0        | 0            | 0         | 0         | 12             |
| Covered bonds  |                           |                       |                       |          |              |           |           |                |
| Terms for average clients  | 0                         | 0                     | 100                   | 0        | 0            | 0         | 0         | 18             |
| Terms for most-favoured clients<br>Note: The net percentage is defined a | 0                         | 0                     | 100                   | 0        | 0            | 0         | 0         | 16             |

Note: The net percentage is defined as the difference between the percentage of respondents reporting "tightened considerably" or "tightened somewhat" and those reporting "eased somewhat" and "eased considerably". "Domestic government bonds" are euro-denominated government bonds issued by the government of the country where a respondent's head office is.

# 2.2 Demand for funding, liquidity and disputes by collateral type

Over the past three months, how has demand for funding of [collateral type/ all collateral types above] by your institution's clients changed?

Over the past three months, how has demand for [term funding with a maturity greater than 30 days] of [collateral type/ all collateral types above] by your institution's clients changed?

#### Table 19

| in percentages, except for the total n            |                     |            | Remained  |           |              | Network   |           | 1               |
|---|---------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|
| Demand for lending against                        | Decreased           | Decreased  | basically | Increased | Increased    |           | centage   | Total number of |
| collateral  | considerably        | somewhat   | unchanged | somewhat  | considerably | Mar. 2022 | Jun. 2022 | answers         |
| Domestic government bonds                         |                     |            |           |           |              |           |           |                 |
| Overall demand                                    | 0                   | 6          | 59        | 35        | 0            | -18       | -29       | 17              |
| With a maturity greater than 30<br>days           | 0                   | 12         | 65        | 24        | 0            | -24       | -12       | 17              |
| ligh-quality government, sub-natio                | nal and supra-natio | onal bonds |           |           |              |           |           |                 |
| Overall demand                                    | 0                   | 9          | 68        | 23        | 0            | -7        | -14       | 22              |
| With a maturity greater than 30 days              | 0                   | 14         | 68        | 18        | 0            | -19       | -5        | 22              |
| Other government, sub-national an                 | d supra-national bo | nds        |           |           |              |           |           |                 |
| Overall demand                                    | 0                   | 9          | 73        | 18        | 0            | -12       | -9        | 22              |
| With a maturity greater than 30<br>days           | 0                   | 14         | 68        | 18        | 0            | -19       | -5        | 22              |
| ligh-quality financial corporate bo               |                     |            |           |           |              |           |           |                 |
| Overall demand                                    | 0                   | 5          | 84        | 11        | 0            | 0         | -5        | 19              |
| With a maturity greater than 30<br>days           | 0                   | 5          | 79        | 16        | 0            | -5        | -11       | 19              |
| ligh-quality non-financial corporate              | e bonds             |            |           |           |              |           |           |                 |
| Overall demand                                    | 0                   | 5          | 89        | 5         | 0            | +5        | 0         | 19              |
| With a maturity greater than 30 days              | 0                   | 11         | 84        | 5         | 0            | -5        | +5        | 19              |
| ligh-yield corporate bonds                        |                     |            |           |           |              |           |           |                 |
| Overall demand                                    | 0                   | 0          | 94        | 6         | 0            | 0         | -6        | 17              |
| With a maturity greater than 30<br>days           | 0                   | 6          | 88        | 6         | 0            | -5        | 0         | 17              |
| convertible securities                            |                     |            |           |           |              |           |           |                 |
| Overall demand                                    | 6                   | 13         | 69        | 13        | 0            | +13       | +6        | 16              |
| With a maturity greater than 30 days              | 6                   | 19         | 69        | 6         | 0            | +13       | +19       | 16              |
| quities   |                     |            |           |           |              |           |           |                 |
| Overall demand<br>With a maturity greater than 30 | 0                   | 42         | 53        | 5         | 0            | +18       | +37       | 19              |
| With a maturity greater than 30<br>davs           | 5                   | 37         | 53        | 5         | 0            | +14       | +37       | 19              |
| sset-backed securities                            |                     |            |           |           |              |           |           |                 |
| Overall demand                                    | 0                   | 6          | 76        | 18        | 0            | 0         | -12       | 17              |
| With a maturity greater than 30 days              | 0                   | 12         | 71        | 18        | 0            | 0         | -6        | 17              |
| overed bonds                                      |                     |            |           |           |              |           |           |                 |
| Overall demand                                    | 0                   | 10         | 81        | 10        | 0            | +4        | 0         | 21              |
| With a maturity greater than 30<br>days           | 0                   | 14         | 76        | 10        | 0            | 0         | +5        | 21              |
| Il collateral types above                         |                     |            |           |           |              |           |           |                 |
| Overall demand<br>With a maturity greater than 30 | 0                   | 19<br>19   | 67<br>67  | 14<br>14  | 0            | +9<br>0   | +5<br>+5  | 21<br>21        |

Note: The net percentage is defined as the difference between the percentage of respondents reporting "decreased considerably" or "decreased somewhat" and those reporting "increased somewhat" and "increased considerably". "Domestic government bonds" are euro-denominated government bonds issued by the government of the country where a respondent's head office is.

2.2 Demand for funding, liquidity and disputes by collateral type (continued) Over the past three months, how have liquidity and functioning of the [collateral type/ all collateral types above] market changed?

### Table 20

| (in percentages, except for the total n               | umber of answers)            |                          |                        |                      |                          |           |           |                            |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|
|   |                              |                          | Remained               |                      |                          | Net per   | centage   |                            |
| Liquidity and functioning of the<br>collateral market | Deteriorated<br>considerably | Deteriorated<br>somewhat | basically<br>unchanged | Improved<br>somewhat | Improved<br>considerably | Mar. 2022 | Jun. 2022 | Total number of<br>answers |
| Domestic government bonds                             |                              |                          |                        |                      |                          |           |           |                            |
| Liquidity and functioning                             | 6                            | 24                       | 71                     | 0                    | 0                        | +18       | +29       | 17                         |
| High-quality government, sub-nation                   | onal and supra-nati          | onal bonds               |                        |                      |                          |           |           |                            |
| Liquidity and functioning                             | 0                            | 23                       | 77                     | 0                    | 0                        | +19       | +23       | 22                         |
| Other government, sub-national an                     | d supra-national bo          | onds                     |                        |                      |                          |           |           |                            |
| Liquidity and functioning                             | 0                            | 23                       | 77                     | 0                    | 0                        | +12       | +23       | 22                         |
| High-quality financial corporate bo                   | nds                          |                          |                        |                      |                          |           |           |                            |
| Liquidity and functioning                             | 0                            | 26                       | 74                     | 0                    | 0                        | +10       | +26       | 19                         |
| High-quality non-financial corporat                   | e bonds                      |                          |                        |                      |                          |           |           |                            |
| Liquidity and functioning                             | 0                            | 16                       | 84                     | 0                    | 0                        | +9        | +16       | 19                         |
| High-yield corporate bonds                            |                              |                          |                        |                      |                          |           |           |                            |
| Liquidity and functioning                             | 0                            | 24                       | 76                     | 0                    | 0                        | +10       | +24       | 17                         |
| Convertible securities                                |                              |                          |                        |                      |                          |           |           |                            |
| Liquidity and functioning                             | 0                            | 19                       | 81                     | 0                    | 0                        | +13       | +19       | 16                         |
| Equities  |                              |                          |                        |                      |                          |           |           |                            |
| Liquidity and functioning                             | 0                            | 16                       | 79                     | 5                    | 0                        | +9        | +11       | 19                         |
| Asset-backed securities                               |                              |                          |                        |                      |                          |           |           |                            |
| Liquidity and functioning                             | 0                            | 18                       | 76                     | 6                    | 0                        | 0         | +12       | 17                         |
| Covered bonds   |                              |                          |                        |                      |                          |           |           |                            |
| Liquidity and functioning                             | 0                            | 14                       | 81                     | 5                    | 0                        | +4        | +10       | 21                         |
| All collateral types above                            |                              |                          |                        |                      |                          |           |           |                            |
| Liquidity and functioning                             | 0                            | 29                       | 67                     | 5                    | 0                        | +9        | +24       | 21                         |

Note: The net percentage is defined as the difference between the percentage of respondents reporting "deteriorated considerably" or "deteriorated somewhat" and those reporting "improved somewhat" and "improved considerably". "Domestic government bonds" are euro-denominated government bonds issued by the government of the country where a respondent's head office is.

# 2.2 Demand for funding, liquidity and disputes by collateral type (continued)

Over the past three months, how has the [volume/ duration and persistence] of collateral valuation disputes relating to lending against [collateral type/ all collateral types above] changed?

### Table 21

(in percentages, except for the total number of answers) Remained Net percentage Decreased Decreased basically Increased Increased Total number of **Collateral valuation disputes** considerably somewhat unchanged somewhat considerably Mar. 2022 Jun. 2022 answers Domestic government bonds Volume -6 Duration and persistence -6 High-quality government, sub-national and supra-national b nds Volume -4 -5 -4 Duration and persistence -5 Other government, sub-national and supra-national bonds Volume Duration and persistence High-quality financial corporate bonds Volume Duration and persistence High-quality non-financial corporate bonds Volume Duration and persistence High-yield corporate bonds Volume Duration and persistence **Convertible securities** Volume Duration and persistence Equities Volume Duration and persistence Asset-backed securities Volume Duration and persistence Covered bonds Volume Duration and persistence All collateral types above Volume -5 Duration and persistence -5 

Note: The net percentage is defined as the difference between the percentage of respondents reporting "decreased considerably" or "decreased somewhat" and those reporting "increased somewhat" and "increased considerably". "Domestic government bonds" are euro-denominated government bonds issued by the government of the country where a respondent's head office is.

#### Non-centrally cleared OTC derivatives 3

3.1 Initial margin requirements, credit limits, liquidity and disputes by type of derivatives Over the past three months, how have [initial margin requirements] set by your institution with respect to OTC [type of derivatives] changed for [average/ most-favoured] clients?

Table 22 (in percentages, except for the total number of answers)

|                                    |                           |                       | Remained               |                       |                           | Net per   | centage   |                            |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|
| Initial margin requirements        | Decreased<br>considerably | Decreased<br>somewhat | basically<br>unchanged | Increased<br>somewhat | Increased<br>considerably | Mar. 2022 | Jun. 2022 | Total number of<br>answers |
| Foreign exchange                   |                           |                       |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Average clients                    | 0                         | 0                     | 81                     | 19                    | 0                         | -13       | -19       | 21                         |
| Most-favoured clients              | 0                         | 0                     | 86                     | 14                    | 0                         | -9        | -14       | 21                         |
| Interest rates                     |                           |                       |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Average clients                    | 0                         | 0                     | 81                     | 19                    | 0                         | -9        | -19       | 21                         |
| Most-favoured clients              | 0                         | 0                     | 81                     | 19                    | 0                         | -9        | -19       | 21                         |
| Credit referencing sovereigns      |                           |                       |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Average clients                    | 0                         | 0                     | 80                     | 20                    | 0                         | -7        | -20       | 15                         |
| Most-favoured clients              | 0                         | 0                     | 80                     | 20                    | 0                         | -7        | -20       | 15                         |
| Credit referencing corporates      |                           |                       |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Average clients                    | 0                         | 0                     | 80                     | 20                    | 0                         | -6        | -20       | 15                         |
| Most-favoured clients              | 0                         | 0                     | 80                     | 20                    | 0                         | -6        | -20       | 15                         |
| Credit referencing structured cred | it products               |                       |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Average clients                    | 0                         | 0                     | 85                     | 15                    | 0                         | -7        | -15       | 13                         |
| Most-favoured clients              | 0                         | 0                     | 85                     | 15                    | 0                         | -7        | -15       | 13                         |
| Equity                             |                           |                       |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Average clients                    | 0                         | 6                     | 78                     | 17                    | 0                         | -5        | -11       | 18                         |
| Most-favoured clients              | 0                         | 6                     | 78                     | 17                    | 0                         | -5        | -11       | 18                         |
| Commodity                          |                           |                       |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Average clients                    | 0                         | 0                     | 83                     | 8                     | 8                         | -8        | -17       | 12                         |
| Most-favoured clients              | 0                         | 0                     | 92                     | 8                     | 0                         | 0         | -8        | 12                         |
| Total return swaps referencing nor | n-securities              |                       |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Average clients                    | 0                         | 0                     | 92                     | 8                     | 0                         | -7        | -8        | 13                         |
| Most-favoured clients              | 0                         | 0                     | 92                     | 8                     | 0                         | -7        | -8        | 13                         |

Note: The net percentage is defined as the difference between the percentage of respondents reporting "decreased considerably" or "decreased somewhat" and those reporting "increased somewhat" and "increased considerably".

# 3.1 Initial margin requirements, credit limits, liquidity and disputes by type of derivatives Over the past three months, how has the [maximum amount of exposure/ maximum maturity of trades] set by your institution with respect to OTC [type of derivatives] changed?

#### Table 23

(in percentages, except for the total number of answe

|                                    |                           |                       | Remained               |                       |                           | Net per   | centage   |                            |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|
| Credit limits                      | Decreased<br>considerably | Decreased<br>somewhat | basically<br>unchanged | Increased<br>somewhat | Increased<br>considerably | Mar. 2022 | Jun. 2022 | Total number of<br>answers |
| Foreign exchange                   |                           |                       |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Maximum amount of exposure         | 0                         | 5                     | 82                     | 14                    | 0                         | 0         | -9        | 22                         |
| Maximum maturity of trades         | 0                         | 0                     | 91                     | 9                     | 0                         | 0         | -9        | 22                         |
| Interest rates                     |                           |                       |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Maximum amount of exposure         | 0                         | 5                     | 90                     | 5                     | 0                         | +5        | 0         | 21                         |
| Maximum maturity of trades         | 0                         | 0                     | 90                     | 10                    | 0                         | -5        | -10       | 21                         |
| Credit referencing sovereigns      |                           |                       |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Maximum amount of exposure         | 0                         | 0                     | 92                     | 8                     | 0                         | -15       | -8        | 13                         |
| Maximum maturity of trades         | 0                         | 0                     | 100                    | 0                     | 0                         | +8        | 0         | 13                         |
| Credit referencing corporates      |                           |                       |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Maximum amount of exposure         | 0                         | 0                     | 85                     | 15                    | 0                         | -7        | -15       | 13                         |
| Maximum maturity of trades         | 0                         | 0                     | 100                    | 0                     | 0                         | +7        | 0         | 13                         |
| Credit referencing structured cred | it products               |                       |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Maximum amount of exposure         | 0                         | 0                     | 91                     | 9                     | 0                         | -8        | -9        | 11                         |
| Maximum maturity of trades         | 0                         | 0                     | 100                    | 0                     | 0                         | +8        | 0         | 11                         |
| Equity                             |                           |                       |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Maximum amount of exposure         | 0                         | 13                    | 75                     | 13                    | 0                         | -12       | 0         | 16                         |
| Maximum maturity of trades         | 0                         | 6                     | 88                     | 6                     | 0                         | -6        | 0         | 17                         |
| Commodity                          |                           |                       |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Maximum amount of exposure         | 0                         | 8                     | 83                     | 8                     | 0                         | -8        | 0         | 12                         |
| Maximum maturity of trades         | 0                         | 8                     | 75                     | 17                    | 0                         | +8        | -8        | 12                         |
| Total return swaps referencing no  | n-securities              |                       |                        |                       |                           |           |           |                            |
| Maximum amount of exposure         | 0                         | 0                     | 92                     | 8                     | 0                         | 0         | -8        | 13                         |
| Maximum maturity of trades         | 0                         | 0                     | 92                     | 8                     | 0                         | -7        | -8        | 13                         |

somewhat" and "increased considerably".

# 3.1 Initial margin requirements, credit limits, liquidity and disputes by type of derivatives Over the past three months, how have [liquidity and trading] of OTC [type of derivatives] changed?

#### Table 24

| (in percentages, except for the total | number of answers) |              |           | _        | _            | _         |           | _               |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|
|                                       |                    |              | Remained  |          |              | Net per   | centage   |                 |
|                                       | Deteriorated       | Deteriorated | basically | Improved | Improved     |           |           | Total number of |
| Liquidity and trading                 | considerably       | somewhat     | unchanged | somewhat | considerably | Mar. 2022 | Jun. 2022 | answers         |
| Foreign exchange                      |                    |              |           |          |              |           |           |                 |
| Liquidity and trading                 | 0                  | 9            | 77        | 14       | 0            | +4        | -5        | 22              |
| Interest rates                        |                    |              |           |          |              |           |           |                 |
| Liquidity and trading                 | 0                  | 10           | 86        | 5        | 0            | +4        | +5        | 21              |
| Credit referencing sovereigns         |                    |              |           |          |              |           |           |                 |
| Liquidity and trading                 | 0                  | 7            | 87        | 7        | 0            | +14       | 0         | 15              |
| Credit referencing corporates         |                    |              |           |          |              |           |           |                 |
| Liquidity and trading                 | 0                  | 7            | 87        | 7        | 0            | +13       | 0         | 15              |
| Credit referencing structured cred    | lit products       |              |           |          |              |           |           |                 |
| Liquidity and trading                 | 0                  | 8            | 85        | 8        | 0            | +7        | 0         | 13              |
| Equity                                |                    |              |           |          |              |           |           |                 |
| Liquidity and trading                 | 0                  | 12           | 76        | 12       | 0            | 0         | 0         | 17              |
| Commodity                             |                    |              |           |          |              |           |           |                 |
| Liquidity and trading                 | 0                  | 8            | 85        | 8        | 0            | +14       | 0         | 13              |
| Total return swaps referencing no     | n-securities       |              |           |          |              |           |           |                 |
| Liquidity and trading                 | 0                  | 0            | 92        | 8        | 0            | -7        | -8        | 13              |

Note: The net percentage is defined as the difference between the percentage of respondents reporting "deteriorated considerably" or "deteriorated somewhat" and those reporting "improved somewhat" and "improved considerably".

3.1 Initial margin requirements, credit limits, liquidity and disputes by type of derivatives Over the past three months, how has the [volume/ duration and persistence] of disputes relating to the valuation of OTC [type of derivatives] contracts changed?

Table 25 (in percentages, except for the total number of answers)

|                                    | Decreased     | Decreased | Remained               | Increased | Increased                 | Net per   | centage   | Total number o |
|------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| Valuation disputes                 | considerably  | somewhat  | basically<br>unchanged | somewhat  | Increased<br>considerably | Mar. 2022 | Jun. 2022 | answers        |
| Foreign exchange                   | contraction   | oomonnut  | anonangou              | oomonnat  | concructury               |           | 0411 2022 | unonoro        |
| Volume                             | 0             | 0         | 86                     | 14        | 0                         | -14       | -14       | 22             |
| Duration and persistence           | 0             | 5         | 86                     | 9         | 0                         | -5        | -5        | 22             |
| Interest rates                     |               |           |                        |           |                           |           |           |                |
| Volume                             | 0             | 0         | 86                     | 14        | 0                         | -14       | -14       | 21             |
| Duration and persistence           | 0             | 5         | 86                     | 10        | 0                         | -5        | -5        | 21             |
| Credit referencing sovereigns      |               |           |                        |           |                           |           |           |                |
| Volume                             | 0             | 0         | 86                     | 14        | 0                         | -7        | -14       | 14             |
| Duration and persistence           | 0             | 0         | 93                     | 7         | 0                         | -7        | -7        | 14             |
| Credit referencing corporates      |               |           |                        |           |                           |           |           |                |
| Volume                             | 0             | 0         | 79                     | 21        | 0                         | -7        | -21       | 14             |
| Duration and persistence           | 0             | 0         | 86                     | 14        | 0                         | -7        | -14       | 14             |
| Credit referencing structured cree | dit products  |           |                        |           |                           |           |           |                |
| Volume                             | 0             | 7         | 79                     | 14        | 0                         | -7        | -7        | 14             |
| Duration and persistence           | 0             | 0         | 93                     | 7         | 0                         | -7        | -7        | 14             |
| Equity                             |               |           |                        |           |                           |           |           |                |
| Volume                             | 0             | 0         | 88                     | 12        | 0                         | -12       | -12       | 17             |
| Duration and persistence           | 0             | 6         | 88                     | 6         | 0                         | -6        | 0         | 17             |
| Commodity                          |               |           |                        |           |                           |           |           |                |
| Volume                             | 0             | 0         | 79                     | 21        | 0                         | -8        | -21       | 14             |
| Duration and persistence           | 0             | 7         | 79                     | 14        | 0                         | 0         | -7        | 14             |
| Total return swaps referencing no  | on-securities |           |                        |           |                           |           |           |                |
| Volume                             | 0             | 0         | 100                    | 0         | 0                         | -7        | 0         | 14             |
| Duration and persistence           | 0             | 7         | 93                     | 0         | 0                         | 0         | +7        | 14             |

Note: The net percentage is defined as the difference between the percentage of respondents reporting "decreased considerably" or "decreased somewhat" and those reporting "increased somewhat" and "increased considerably".

# 3.2 Changes in new or renegotiated master agreements

Over the past three months, how have [margin call practices/ acceptable collateral/ recognition of portfolio or diversification benefits/ covenants and triggers/ other documentation features] incorporated in new or renegotiated OTC derivatives master agreements put in place with your institution's clients changed?

#### Table 26

(in percentages, except for the total number of answers)

|   |                           | -                     | Remained               |                   |                       | Net per   | centage   | I., , , ,                  |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|
| Changes in agreements                                   | Tightened<br>considerably | Tightened<br>somewhat | basically<br>unchanged | Eased<br>somewhat | Eased<br>considerably | Mar. 2022 | Jun. 2022 | Total number of<br>answers |
| Margin call practices                                   | 0                         | 4                     | 96                     | 0                 | 0                     | +4        | +4        | 23                         |
| Acceptable collateral                                   | 0                         | 0                     | 96                     | 4                 | 0                     | -4        | -4        | 23                         |
| Recognition of portfolio or<br>diversification benefits | 0                         | 0                     | 100                    | 0                 | 0                     | 0         | 0         | 23                         |
| Covenants and triggers                                  | 0                         | 0                     | 100                    | 0                 | 0                     | +4        | 0         | 23                         |
| Other documentation features                            | 0                         | 4                     | 96                     | 0                 | 0                     | +4        | +4        | 23                         |

Note: The net percentage is defined as the difference between the percentage of respondents reporting "tightened considerably" or "tightened somewhat" and those reporting "eased somewhat" and "eased considerably".

# 3.3 Posting of non-standard collateral

Over the past three months, how has the posting of non-standard collateral (for example, other than cash and high-quality government bonds) as permitted under relevant agreements changed?

### Table 27

| (in percentages, except for the total number of answers)  |              |           |           |           |              |           |           |   |
|---|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|---|
|   |              |           | Remained  |           |              | Net per   | centage   | L., , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
|   | Decreased    | Decreased | basically | Increased | Increased    |           |           | Total number of                         |
| Non-standard collateral   | considerably | somewhat  | unchanged | somewhat  | considerably | Mar. 2022 | Jun. 2022 | answers                                 |
| Posting of non-standard collateral  | 0            | 6         | 89        | 6         | 0            | +5        | 0         | 18                                      |
| Note: The net percentage is defined as the difference between the percentage of respondence reporting "decreased considerably" or "decreased somewhat" and these reporting "increased |              |           |           |           |              |           |           |   |

Note: The net percentage is defined as the difference between the percentage of respondents reporting "decreased considerably" or "decreased somewhat" and those reporting "increased somewhat" and "increased considerably".

# © European Central Bank, 2022

Postal address Telephone Website 60640 Frankfurt am Main, Germany +49 69 1344 0 www.ecb.europa.eu

All rights reserved. Reproduction for educational and non-commercial purposes is permitted, provided that the source is acknowledged.